

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/813,201

Applicant(s)

ZISSERMAN ET AL.

Examiner

Sathyanarayan Pannala

Art Unit

2164

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sathyanarayan Pannala.(3) Stephen Weyer.(2) Charles Ronces.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 01 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 19.

Identification of prior art discussed: Mojilovic et al. (US Patent 7,043,474, Jain et al. (US Patent 5,913,205) and Essafi et al. (US Patent 6,642,929).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed about arguments in the remarks section and agreed for a Supplemental Final Action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sathyanarayan Pannala/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2164

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required